Supporting Information for

Revealing Brown Carbon Chromophores Produced in Reactions of Methylglyoxal
with Ammonium Sulfate

4 Peng Lin,^a Julia Laskin,^b Sergey A. Nizkorodov,^c Alexander Laskin^{a,*}

⁵ ^a Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA.

6 ^b Physical Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA.

7 ^c Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA

8

1

9 Appendix I: Separation of BrC by six evaluated HPLC columns

10 Table S1. Summary of the HPLC columns examined in this study.

11 Table S2. Orgnaic compounds detected in the MG +AS mixture. Their EICs were evaluated with

12 different HPLC columns in this study.

13 Figure S1. Fractions of the overall HPLC/PDA signals integrated over the 250-450 nm range and

14 detected at different elution periods. The plot compares the amount of light-absorbing material

resolved by different columns during certain periods of the elution time.

Figure S2. Exacted ion chromatograms (EICs) of the 17 products produced from MG reactingwith AS obtained from the LC-MS analysis by using different columns.

18 Figure S3. The effect of sample desalting on the performance of the SM-C18 column for

19 separating BrC compounds produced in MG+AS mixtures. (a) Total ion chromatograms (TIC).

20 Panels (b), (c) and (d) show integrated mass spectra of p1, p2 and p3 regions indicated in panel

21 (a), respectively. The blue asterisks indicate salt adducts.

22

23 Appendix I: Separation of BrC on different HPLC columns

Reversed-phased chromatography (RPC) is the most common mode of HPLC due to its 24 broad versatility for the separation of a wide range of analytes.¹ Among the stationary phases 25 used by RPC. C18 (Octadecylsilane) is the most popular one.¹ The residual free silanol groups 26 that remain on the surface of the silica gel in the traditional C18 column (e.g., C18 Luna) are 27 endcapped with nonpolar trimethylsilyl groups (TMS) to eliminate some of their unwanted 28 effects on the separation, such as peak tailing.² This type of stationary phase is usually chosen 29 as the starting point for RPC method development,³ and has shown its capability to resolve a 30 number of nitro-aromatic BrC chromophores.⁴⁻⁷ As shown in Figure 1a, a majority of the BRC 31 chromophores from the MG + AS mixture eluted too quickly within the first 5 min, implying 32 33 their higher polarity relative to the C18 sorbent. Some of the chromophores eluted between 5 to 15 min, and nearly all of the chromophores eluted within 20 min (Figure S1). Similar elution 34 behavior was observed for the C18 Fusion column (Figure 1b and Figure S1), in which a 35 nitrogen-containing polar functional group is embedded with in the alkyl chain. This polar 36 embedding has been suggested to improve dipole-induced interactions of analyte molecules 37 with the stationary phase.⁸ However, our results suggest that it does not improve separation of 38 BrC compounds in the MG+AS system. This phenomenon indicates that the benefits of polar 39 40 embedding C18 stationary phase may be selective with respect to different classes of polar analytes. 41

42 For RPC, the elution gradient started with a high fraction of water (90%), and the sample was also dissolved in water. As a result, poor retention of analytes on the non-polar endcapped 43 C18 columns may be caused by the poor wetting capability of the sorbent.⁸ The C18 Hydro 44 column was designed to modify the classical C18 chemistry with polar endcapping agent, which 45 is hydrophilic and allows the silica surface to be wetted with water, facilitating stronger 46 interactions of analytes with the C18 alkyl chains. This modification improves retention of polar 47 analytes under highly aqueous conditions.³ Figure 1c shows the retention behavior of BrC 48 chromophores on the C18 Hydro column. Compared to the two C18 columns described earlier 49 50 (traditional C18 and C18 Fusion), fewer chromophores eluted from the C18 Hydro column 51 within the first 5 min. A substantial fraction of chromophores eluted after 10-min (Figure S1), indicating that the retention of some BrC chromophores has improved. 52

Figure 1d shows the retention behavior of BrC chromophores on the Biphenyl column, which utilizes biphenyl functional groups as the stationary phase favoring separation of aromatic compounds. As illustrated in Figure S1, similar retention of chromophores was observed using the Biphenyl and C18 Hydro columns in the first 5 min of the chromatographic run. Additional chromophores eluted between 5-10 min, but fewer chromophores eluted between 10-20 min in comparison with the C18 Hydro column. In addition to nonpolar59 nonpolar interaction, the biphenyl groups provide some special retention properties and 60 selectivity to analyte molecules due to π-π and steric recognition interactions.⁹ The light 61 absorbance of organic molecules depends on the extent of the double bonds and the 62 conjugation of the π electrons in the system.¹⁰ Consequently, the improved retention of the 63 analyte molecules by the Biphenyl column indicates the presence of π-π interactions between 64 the BrC chromophores and biphenyl groups.

65 Over 95% of chromophores (with respect to the overall light absorbance) eluted within 20 min, and a large portion of them eluted before 5 min on the four RPC columns discussed 66 67 above. The observed poor retention of chromophores by these columns indicates that many of 68 the chromophores are relatively polar and/or hydrophilic with respect to the RPC stationary 69 phases. To overcome the problematic retention and separation of small hydrophilic polar compounds by conventional RPC, hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) was 70 developed and has been successfully used for the analysis of charged and neutral hydrophilic 71 species.^{11, 12} In this study, we examined the retention of BrC mixture on a HILIC column 72 73 containing sulfoalkylbetaine zwitterions as the stationary phase. As shown in Figure 1e, BrC chromophores exhibit the poorest retention and separation on the HILIC column. Over 98% of 74 the chromophores eluted within the first 10 min and the vast majority of them (~90%) eluted 75 within 5 min (Figure S1), indicating that it is not easy to retain these analytes with this type of 76 stationary phase. The retention mechanism of polar analytes on a HILIC phase is complex.¹³ It 77 requires the formation of an aqueous-rich layer adsorbed on the polar surface of the stationary 78 phase. The retention mainly takes place via the hydrophilic partitioning of the analytes between 79 the aqueous-rich layer and the bulk mobile phase.¹³ It has been demonstrated that the 80 acetonitrile content in the mobile phase is the largest factor affecting analyte retention.¹¹ 81 Therefore, additional efforts may be required to improve the retention of BrC compounds on 82 the HILIC column by optimizing the acetonitrile content in the mobile phase. There are also 83 numerous stationary phases for HILIC column, so it may be worthwhile to evaluate the 84 performance of different HILIC columns and find a more suitable one for separating MG/AS BrC. 85 For example, good separation of polar compounds has been achieved with a HILIC column 86 containing an amide stationary phase.¹⁴ Another column that is worth to be considered is the 87 Atlantis C18 T3 column (Waters, Milford, MA, USA), containing trifunctionally bonded C18 alkyl 88 chains, which also readily separates low molecular weight polar analytes.¹⁵ 89

The stationary phase of the SM-C18 column is composed of octadecyl functional groups with cation and anion ligands attached to the silica gel. This mixed mode stationary phase was designed for combining RPC with anion-exchange (AEX), and cation-exchange (CEX) chromatography. Such combination enables separation of both neutral and charged analytes by the same column.¹⁶ As shown in Figure 1f, the SM-C18 column exhibited the best retention and separation among the six columns examined in this study. The analyzed constituents distributed 96 more evenly between 3 and 30 min of the RT on this column. Furthermore, ~7 % of 97 chromophores eluted after 30 min indicating their strong affinity for the stationary phase 98 (Figure S1).

99

Table S1. Description of the HPLC columns examined in this study.

column name used in this work	Column manufacture, part number, and parameters	Properties of stationary phase	Expected inteactions with analytes, or benefits for retention and selectivity
C18 Luna	Phenomenex Luna® C18(2), 00F-4252-B0, 100Å, 5μm, 150 × 2.0 mm	Octadecylsilane (C18) with nonpolar trimethylsilyl (TMS) endcappling, no free exposed silanols	Traditional reversed phase column Nonpolar-nonpolar interaction
C18 Fusion	Phenomenex Synergi® Fusion- RP, 00F-4424-B0, 80Å, 4μm, 150 × 2.0 mm	Octadecylsilane (C18) with nonpolar endcapping, polar embedded groups no free exposed silanols	Nonpolar-nonpolar interaction, Less hydrophobic than C18 Luna. Ion exchange or dipole interaction with nitrogen containing embedded groups
C18 Hydro	Phenomenex Synergi [®] Hydro- RP, 00F-4375-B0, 80Å, 4μm, 150 × 2.0 mm	Octadecylsilane (C18) with polar endcapping	Equivalent hydrophobicity with C18 Luna Enhanced hydrogen bonding for improved retention of polar analytes under highly aqueous conditions
Biphenyl	Phenomenex Kinetex® Biphenyl, 00F-4627-Y0, 100Å, 5μm, 150 × 2.0 mm	Biphenyl with nonpolar TMS endcapping	Nonpolar-nonpolar interaction; π-π and steric recognition interactions. Optimized for retention of aromatics and poly-conjugating systems
HILIC	Thermo Scientific Syncronis® HILIC, 97505-15230, 100Å, 5μm, 150 × 2.1 mm	sulfoalkylbetaine zwitterionic functionality	Hydrophilic partitioning, Hydrogen bonding, Electrostatic interactions ¹³
SM-C18	Imtakt Scherzo® SM-C18, SM035, 130Å, 3μm, 150 × 3.0 mm	Octadecylsilane (C18) with anion and cation ligands	Reversed phase + anion exchange + cation exchange, Separation of basic, acidic and neutral analytes at neutral pH condition

Table S2. Orgnaic compounds detected in the MG +AS mixture. Their EICs were evaluated with different HPLC columns in this study.

neutral	theoritical	measured	tentative structure	remarks &
formula	mass of	m/z		references
	$[M+H]^+$			
$C_6H_8N_2$	109.0760	109.0758		^c This study
C ₆ H ₈ ON ₂	125.0709	125.0707	N 10	^b Galloway
				et al., 2009;
				Yu et al.,
			N \	2011 ^{17, 18}
	126.0540	126 0549	О Н	^a Saroon ot
C6117O210	120.0545	120.0348		al 2010 ¹⁹
			HN	al., 2010
			Ŭ Ŭ Ŭ	
$C_6H_9O_3N$	144.0655	144.0654	О ОН	^a Sareen et
				al., 2010 ¹⁹
C ₆ H ₁₁ O ₄ N	162.0761	162.0757	0 I	^a Sareen et
-0 11-4				al., 2010 ¹⁹
			HO	
			ōΗ	
			ÕН	
$C_9H_{12}ON_2$	165.1022	165.1019	011	^c This study
$C_9H_{12}O_3N_2$	197.0921	197.0916		⁵ Sareen et
				al., 2010 ¹³
C ₉ H ₁₃ O ₂ N ₃	196.1081	196.1086	рн	^b Sareen et
5 15 2 5				al., 2010 ¹⁹
			N O H ₂ N	
$C_9H_{14}O_2N_2$	183.1128	183.1124	Π	^c This study
$C_{12}H_{14}O_4N_2$	251.1026	251.1019		^b Sareen et
				al., 2010;
				Kampf et
				al., 2012 ^{19,}
				20
$C_9 H_{10} O_3$	167.0703	167.0699		^c This studv
$C_9H_{11}O_2N$	166.0863	166.0868		^c This study

$C_{12}H_{16}O_3N_3$	250.1186	250.1192	OH OH N N H H	^b Bones et al., 2010; Amarnath et al., 1994 ^{21, 22}
$C_{12}H_{16}O_5N_2$	269.1132	269.1125		^a Lee et al., 2015 ²³
$C_{15}H_{18}N_2O_6$	323.1238	323.1243		^a Lee et al., 2015 ²³
$C_{15}H_{19}O_4N_3$	306.1448	306.1444		^a Lee et al., 2015 ²³
$C_{12}H_{13}O_2N_3$	232.1080	232.1074		^a Lee et al., 2015 ²³

remarks

^a BrC compounds identified in previous studies, for which molecular structures were proposed based on the reported reaction mechanisms;

^b BrC compounds that have not been reported before, but for which their elemental formulas and structures can be inferred based on previously reported reaction mechanisms and structurally-related products.

^c New light-absorbing nitrogen-containing compounds observed in this study.

Figure S1. Fractions of the overall HPLC/PDA signals integrated over the 250-450 nm range and detected at different elution periods. The plot compares the amount of light-absorbing material resolved by different columns during certain periods of the elution time.





Figure S2. Exacted ion chromatograms (EICs) of the 17 products produced from MG reacting with AS obtained from the LC-MS analysis by using different columns.



Figure S3. The effect of sample desalting on the performance of the SM-C18 column for separating BrC compounds produced in MG+AS mixtures. (a) Total ion chromatograms (TIC). Panels (b), (c) and (d) show integrated mass spectra of p1, p2 and p3 regions indicated in panel (a), respectively. The blue asterisks indicate salt adducts.



References:

Majors, R. E., Current trends in HPLC column usage. *LCGC North America* 2012, *30*, (1), 20-34.
Li, C. Y., Silanol group effect in C18 bonded phases in HPLC. *Chromatographia* 1992, *34*, (3-4), 182-184.

3. Chamseddin, C.; Jira, T., Evaluation of the chromatographic performance of conventional, polarendcapped and calixarene-bonded stationary phases for the separation of water-soluble vitamins. *Chromatographia* **2013**, *76*, (9-10), 449-457.

4. Desyaterik, Y.; Sun, Y.; Shen, X. H.; Lee, T. Y.; Wang, X. F.; Wang, T.; Collett, J. L., Speciation of "brown" carbon in cloud water impacted by agricultural biomass burning in eastern China. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* **2013**, *118*, (13), 7389-7399.

5. Lin, P.; Liu, J. M.; Shilling, J. E.; Kathmann, S. M.; Laskin, J.; Laskin, A., Molecular characterization of brown carbon (BrC) chromophores in secondary organic aerosol generated from photo-oxidation of toluene. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2015**, *DOI:* 10.1039/c5cp02563j.

6. Zhang, X. L.; Lin, Y. H.; Surratt, J. D.; Weber, R. J., Sources, composition and absorption angstrom exponent of light-absorbing organic components in aerosol extracts from the Los Angeles Basin. *Environ Sci Technol* **2013**, *47*, (8), 3685-3693.

7. Zhang, X. L.; Lin, Y. H.; Surratt, J. D.; Zotter, P.; Prevot, A. S. H.; Weber, R. J., Light-absorbing soluble organic aerosol in Los Angeles and Atlanta: A contrast in secondary organic aerosol. *Geophys Res Lett* **2011**, *38*, (21), DOI: 10.1029/2011GL049385.

8. Layne, J., Characterization and comparison of the chromatographic performance of conventional, polar-embedded, and polar-endcapped reversed-phase liquid chromatography stationary phases. *J Chromatogr A* **2002**, *957*, (2), 149-164.

9. Sadek, P. C.; Carr, P. W., Study of solute retention in reversed-phase high-performance liquidchromatography on hydrocarbonaceous and 3 fluorinated bonded phases. *J Chromatogr* **1984**, *288*, (1), 25-41.

10. Turro, N. J.; Ramamurthy, V.; Scaiano, J. C., *Modern Molecular Photochemistry of Organic Molecules*. University Science Books: Sausalito, CA., 2010.

11. Guo, Y.; Gaiki, S., Retention behavior of small polar compounds on polar stationary phases in hydrophilic interaction chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* **2005**, *1074*, (1-2), 71-80.

12. Guo, Y.; Gaiki, S., Retention and selectivity of stationary phases for hydrophilic interaction chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* **2011**, *1218*, (35), 5920-5938.

13. McCalley, D. V., Study of the selectivity, retention mechanisms and performance of alternative silica-based stationary phases for separation of ionised solutes in hydrophilic interaction chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* **2010**, *1217*, (20), 3408-3417.

14. Hettiyadura, A. P. S.; Stone, E. A.; Kundu, S.; Baker, Z.; Geddes, E.; Richards, K.; Humphry, T., Determination of atmospheric organosulfates using HILIC chromatography with MS detection. *Atmos Meas Tech* **2015**, *8*, (6), 2347-2358.

15. Shalamzari, M. S.; Ryabtsova, O.; Kahnt, A.; Vermeylen, R.; Herent, M. F.; Quetin-Leclercq, J.; Van der Veken, P.; Maenhaut, W.; Claeys, M., Mass spectrometric characterization of organosulfates related to secondary organic aerosol from isoprene. *Rapid Commun Mass Sp* **2013**, *27*, (7), 784-794.

16. Stevenson, P. G.; Fairchild, J. N.; Guiochon, G., Retention mechanism divergence of a mixed mode stationary phase for high performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr A* **2011**, *1218*, (14), 1822-1827.

17. Galloway, M. M.; Loza, C. L.; Chhabra, P. S.; Chan, A. W. H.; Yee, L. D.; Seinfeld, J. H.; Keutsch, F. N., Analysis of photochemical and dark glyoxal uptake: Implications for SOA formation. *Geophys Res Lett* **2011**, *38*, L17811, doi:10.1029/2011GL048514.

18. Yu, G.; Bayer, A. R.; Galloway, M. M.; Korshavn, K. J.; Fry, C. G.; Keutsch, F. N., Glyoxal in aqueous ammonium sulfate solutions: products, kinetics and hydration effects. *Environ Sci Technol* **2011**, *45*, (15), 6336-6342.

19. Sareen, N.; Schwier, A. N.; Shapiro, E. L.; Mitroo, D.; McNeill, V. F., Secondary organic material formed by methylglyoxal in aqueous aerosol mimics. *Atmos Chem Phys* **2010**, *10*, (3), 997-1016.

20. Kampf, C. J.; Jakob, R.; Hoffmann, T., Identification and characterization of aging products in the glyoxal/ammonium sulfate system - implications for light-absorbing material in atmospheric aerosols. *Atmos Chem Phys* **2012**, *12*, (14), 6323-6333.

21. Amarnath, V.; Valentine, W. M.; Amarnath, K.; Eng, M. A.; Graham, D. G., The mechanism of nucleophilic-substitution of alkylpyrroles in the presence of oxygen. *Chem Res Toxicol* **1994**, *7*, (1), 56-61.

22. Bones, D. L.; Henricksen, D. K.; Mang, S. A.; Gonsior, M.; Bateman, A. P.; Nguyen, T. B.; Cooper, W. J.; Nizkorodov, S. A., Appearance of strong absorbers and fluorophores in limonene- O_3 secondary organic aerosol due to NH_4^+ -mediated chemical aging over long time scales. *J Geophys Res-Atmos* **2010**, *115*, (D5), DOI: 10.1029/2009JD012864.

23. Lee, H. J.; Aiona, P. K.; Leslie, R.; Laskin, A.; Laskin, J.; Nizkorodov, S. A., Photochemistry of aqueous mixtures of methylglyoxal and ammonium sulfate. *in preparison* **2015**.