Effect of Ammonium Salts on the Decarboxylation of Oxaloacetic Acid in Atmospheric Particles
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ABSTRACT: Oxaloacetic acid (OAA) is a 3-oxocarboxylic acid formed from the oxidation of succinic acid. OAA and other 3-oxocarboxylic acids experience a decarboxylation reaction in aqueous solutions, which can be catalyzed by ammonium and amines. This catalysis has not been studied under atmospherically relevant conditions despite previous interest in OAA in the atmosphere. To address this, 1 mM solutions of OAA were prepared with varying concentrations of ammonium sulfate, ammonium bisulfate, ammonium chloride, and sodium sulfate to simulate various atmospheric conditions. The extent of the decarboxylation was monitored using UV−visible absorption spectroscopy. OAA’s uncatalyzed decarboxylation lifetime was around 5 h. Under moderately acidic conditions representative of aerosol particles (pH = 3−4), the decarboxylation rate increased linearly with ammonium concentration up to about 2.7 M, after which additional ammonium had no effect. The effective lifetime of OAA reduced to approximately 1 h under these conditions. Density functional theory calculations support the proposed catalytic mechanism, predicting the free energy barrier height for decarboxylation to be approximately 21 kcal/mol lower after OAA has reacted with ammonium. In more acidic solutions (pH < 1), OAA’s decarboxylation was suppressed, with lifetimes of tens of hours, even in the presence of ammonium. A comparison of the decarboxylation rate with the expected rate of oxidation by OH suggests that decarboxylation will be the dominant loss mechanism for OAA, and presumably other 3-oxocarboxylic acids, in aerosol particles and cloud/fog droplets. This result explains why OAA is hard to detect in field measurements even though it is a known oxidation product of succinic acid.
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INTRODUCTION

The importance of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation and aging has been recognized based on SOA’s ability to affect climate, air quality, and health.1 SOA is generally comprised of molecules containing carbonyl, carboxyl, and hydroxyl functional groups.2 Oxocarboxylic acids and dicarboxylic acids comprise a major fraction of SOA mass as a result of their low vapor pressures.3 Their abundance in atmospheric water is also high as a result of their high polarity.4 Their prevalence in SOA and water solubility makes dicarboxylic acids, oxocarboxylic acids, and oxodicarboxylic acids (molecules that have two carbonyl groups and at least one keto group) good representative molecules for SOA found in the aqueous phase, such as aerosol liquid water or cloud droplets, and studying their possible aqueous reactions is important for understanding the fate of SOA molecules dissolved in atmospheric water.5−12

The ionic strengths for atmospheric water generally fall between 10−5 and 10−2 M for cloud/fog water and in excess of 1 M in deliquescent aerosol particles.6 The major contributors to the ionic strength are sulfate and ammonium ions, especially in areas dominated by anthropogenic emissions of ammonia and sulfur dioxide,7 but other inorganic ions including nitrate, chloride, and sodium also contribute. These hygroscopic species have the potential to affect the chemistry of SOA in the aqueous phase through various mechanisms. Ammonium ions are especially interesting in this regard because they directly affect the pH, and they can act as a catalyst for various processes by reacting with carbonyl and other oxygen-containing groups.13,14 One such ammonium-catalyzed process is the main focus of this work.

Oxaloacetic acid (OAA) is a 3-oxodicarboxylic acid—a class of compounds, which are known to undergo the decarbox-
ylation reaction shown in simplified Scheme 1. OAA can be formed by the oxidation of succinic acid,15 which is prevalent in the atmosphere,9,12 and OAA has recently been observed in atmospheric aerosols.6,7,16 Previously, the decarboxylation reaction of 3-oxocarboxylic acids has been suggested by Römp pp et al.11 to explain the absence of 3-oxocarboxylic acids detected in field data, despite their assumed formation and detection in laboratory-generated SOA. In fact, it has been known for some time that OAA’s decarboxylation reaction can be catalyzed by ammonium and other amines.17 Because OAA is an important molecule in the citric acid cycle,18 this decarboxylation reaction and response to ammonium and amines has been reported in previous studies, usually under more neutral or basic conditions and ionic strengths and temperatures more representative of the human body.19–22 The behavior of OAA under the higher ionic strength conditions of deliquescent aerosol particles has not been studied.

The ammonium-catalyzed decarboxylation of OAA has not been studied with theoretical methods. Previous theoretical calculations have provided many mechanistic insights into catalysis of reaction by diamines, although with the important limitation that they do not exhaustively explore possible decarboxylation pathways. Song et al.24 reported a detailed mechanism and proton-transfer pathway for the uncatalyzed and ethylenediamine-catalyzed decarboxylation of undissociated OAA in the gas phase and aqueous phase proposed using semi-local density functional theory (DFT). They found an uncatalyzed free energy barrier of about 24 kcal/mol and an ethylenediamine-catalyzed free energy barrier of approximately 14 kcal/mol, and the dehydration of the carbinolamine to form an imine was the rate-limiting step for the catalyzed reaction. A detailed mechanism for fully deprotonated OAA at pH 8.0 with and without catalysis by protonated ethylenediamine was calculated by Cheng.25 When fully deprotonated, the dehydration of the carbinolamine was still the rate-limiting step, but the free energy barrier was greater: 49 kcal/mol with ethylenediamine catalysis (the free energy barrier and the rate-limiting step without a catalyst were not discussed). Finally, Fan and Song used DFT to compare several protonated diamine catalysts in the decarboxylation of OAA’s anions (OAA− and OAA2−). They calculated the decarboxylation step to have the highest free energy for OAA2− in the presence of all diamine catalysts, while the dehydration of the carbinolamine was rate-determining for OAA− with most of the catalysts.

We have examined the chemistry of OAA in the presence of varying, atmospherically relevant concentrations of NH4+ and SO42−, as well as Na+ and Cl− for comparison, which simulate a number of aqueous conditions found in the atmosphere. We also report electronic structure calculations in order to generate the energy diagrams of both the uncatalyzed and ammonium-catalyzed decarboxylation of OAA. We propose that the ammonium-catalyzed reaction goes through a six-membered ring transition state, which is analogous to the known transition state of the uncatalyzed reaction, as presented in Scheme 2. We compare the activation energies of both mechanisms to validate our experimental results. We show that decarboxylation in the presence of ammonium occurs on time scales of hours, and therefore controls the lifetime of OAA, and likely all other 3-oxocarboxylic acids, in the presence of ammonium sulfate aerosols.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample Aging.** OAA (97% purity) was purchased from Millipore Sigma. Ammonium sulfate (99% purity), ammonium bisulfate (98% purity), ammonium chloride (99% purity), and sodium sulfate (99% purity) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. All compounds were used without further purification. OAA was dissolved in pure Milli-Q water or solutions of varying concentrations of ammonium sulfate, ammonium bisulfate, sodium sulfate, or ammonium chloride to make 1 mM solutions of OAA. The OAA dissolved promptly upon contact with the solution, so minimal mixing was required. The time between solution preparation and the beginning of measurements was minimized (<5 min) to control the amount of time spent in the aqueous phase, allowing for the observation of as much of the decarboxylation reaction as possible. The rate of the decarboxylation reaction shown in Scheme 1 was monitored using the peak in absorbance at 260 nm using a UV–vis spectrometer (Shimadzu UV-2450), which was programmed to collect a spectrum at set time intervals, ranging from 10 to 30 min depending on the rate at which the absorbance decayed. The 1

**Scheme 2. Proposed Mechanism for OAA’s Decarboxylation Including the Six-Membered Ring Transition State (a) without Catalyst and (b) in the Presence of Ammonium**

![Scheme 2](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00025)
mM OAA concentration was specifically chosen to provide a starting 260 nm absorbance around one to ensure a good signal-to-noise ratio. We did not vary the starting concentration in these experiments since OAA exhibited first-order decay. The pH value for each starting sample was measured using a Mettler Toledo SevenEasy pH meter. The pH of the solutions did not change significantly throughout the experiments, which agrees with previous work.27,28

**Rate Constant and Branching Ratio Calculations.** Rate constants and standard deviations were determined by fitting the absorbance at 260 nm over time to a first-order rate law. Sample fits to the data are shown in Figures S1–S3. The decarboxylation reaction has also been shown to be of first order in previous studies.21,29

To determine the importance of the decarboxylation reaction relative to other loss processes, the measured rate constants were converted into lifetimes with respect to OH using the method described in ref.30 We define Q below as the ratio of the rate of oxidation of OAA by OH to the rate of decarboxylation

$$Q = \frac{\tau_d}{\tau_{OH}} = \frac{k_{OH}[OH]}{k_d}$$  

where $\tau_d$ is the lifetime of OAA with respect to decarboxylation, $\tau_{OH}$ is the lifetime of OAA with respect to OH oxidation, $k_{OH}$ is the bimolecular rate constant for OAA’s reaction with OH, and $k_d$ the measured unimolecular rate constant for OAA’s decarboxylation. OH concentrations for deliquescent particles and cloud/fog water have been estimated to be $10^{-16}$ to $10^{-15}$ M.31–33 Therefore, for the purposes of this comparison, the OH concentration was assumed to be $10^{-15}$ M for most of the discussion, although the implications of higher OH concentrations are addressed briefly.

The reaction rate of OAA with the OH radical has not been previously determined, so the structure–activity relationships (SARs) for aqueous OH-oxidation developed by Monod and Doussin24–25 were used to estimate $k_{OH}$ for OAA. OAA has multiple acid–base sites and can form a gen-diol or enol in the aqueous phase, so the mixture of compounds contributing to its OH reactivity is complex. Equilibrium ratios of all possible forms of OAA present in aqueous solutions at various pH values were previously determined by Kozlowski and Zuman20 and were used here to determine a weighted rate constant for the OH-oxidation of OAA. The rate constants for the OH-oxidation of unsaturated compounds were not included in the SARs’ training data set,24–25 so the enol forms of OAA could not be calculated. Instead, the OH-oxidation rate of the closely related but-2-enedioic acid was used to estimate the OH reactivity of the enol forms of OAA (for equilibrium ratios and more details on OH-oxidation calculations, see Tables S2 and S3).

**Computational Details.** To further analyze our experimental results, we performed electronic structure calculations to obtain the energy diagrams for both the uncatalyzed and ammonium-catalyzed decarboxylation of OAA. The main goal of these simulations was to establish the mechanism for decarboxylation, rather than quantitatively predict the rate constants, using resource-efficient computational methodology. Geometries of the reactants, transition-state intermediates, and products were fully optimized within DFT using the hybrid exchange–correlation functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE0)36 in combination with the resolution-of-identity approximation.37 PBE0 has been shown to give acceptably accurate barrier heights based on previous work,30 so in the interest of computational cost, other hybrid exchange–correlation functionals were not tested. Polarized triple-zeta valence basis sets (def2-TZVPP)38 were used for all atoms. Very fine size four29 (grids) was used for numerical integration, and ground-state energies converged to $10^{-8}$ hartree. Analytical second derivative (AOFORCE)39 calculations were performed to confirm that all optimized structures were minima of the potential energy surface. In addition to doing calculations for isolated molecules, we also performed calculations for molecules in a dielectric medium. To this end, the conductor-like screening model (COSMO)41 was employed with the dielectric constant for water, 80.1.42 For...
increases the rate constant for the decarboxylation reaction, overall ionic strength because we observe that ammonium ion cation (ammonium or sodium) concentration rather than the and their dependence on salt concentrations are shown in water (predicted Q = 0.005), so decarboxylation will be the major removal pathway for aqueous OAA under these conditions.

Effects of Ammonium in Weakly Acidic Solutions (pH 3 to 4). The measured pseudo-first-order rate constants (k) and their dependence on salt concentrations are shown in Figure 2. Here, we plot the rate constants as a function of cation (ammonium or sodium) concentration rather than the overall ionic strength because we observe that ammonium ion concentration better correlates with changes in the observed rate constants. An increase in ammonium sulfate linearly increases the rate constant for the decarboxylation reaction, with a slope of about $7 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ per molar ammonium. The dependence of the rate on ammonium concentration appears to reach an asymptote above about 2.7 M ammonium. The lifetime of OAA at the point the rate levels off is about 1 h. We have confirmed using the E-AIM model II (http://www.aim.env.uea.ac.uk/aim/aim.php) that the activity of ammonium ion increases smoothly with ammonium sulfate concentration over this concentration range, so the saturation above 2.7 M is not due to the changes in the activity of ammonium ions.

Figure 2 compares the measured rate constant for both ammonium sulfate and ammonium chloride. The dependence of the measured rate constant on the ammonium ion concentration is the same regardless of whether the sulfate or chloride salt of ammonium is used, so there are no strong anion effects on decarboxylation. Control experiments were performed based on previous work, which has shown some atmospheric aqueous processes to be influenced by ionic strength. Therefore, however, the rate constant for decarboxylation does not show a dependence on the salt concentration with the addition of sodium sulfate. While we do not plot the data as a function of ionic strength in Figure 2, the ionic strength increases with increasing sodium sulfate concentration, so we can conclude that the reaction is not appreciably affected by ionic strength in this case.

We can explain the observed behavior of the effective rate constant on $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ if we assume that ammonia present in solution converts a small fraction of the carbonyl species into imine (Scheme 1), which then decarboxylates at a much higher rate (Scheme 2). The measured relative rate of decarboxylation in the presence and absence of dissolved ammonia in the limit of a rapid imine–carbonyl equilibrium can be expressed as follows

$$\frac{\text{rate with } \text{NH}_4}{\text{rate without } \text{NH}_4} \approx \frac{k_{\text{eq}}[\text{NH}_4]}{k_{\text{carbonyl}}}$$

where $k_{\text{eq}}$ is the equilibrium constant for the imine–carbonyl equilibrium.

\section*{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

Uncatalyzed Decarboxylation. Decarboxylation of OAA occurs slowly in pure water, and we remeasured the rate of this process in control experiments. The change in the absorbance spectrum over time can be seen in Figure 1. We determined the uncatalyzed rate constant for the decarboxylation to be $(5.24 \pm 0.95) \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ as an average and standard deviation of two measurements, which shows good agreement with previous work $(5.5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1})$. This gives OAA a lifetime of about 5 h in a dilute aqueous solution, such as a cloud droplet. This is more than two orders of magnitude shorter than the $t_{1/2}$ per molar ammonium. The transition state structures was checked using numerical differences of analytical gradients to ensure there was one imaginary frequency. The Gibbs free energies of each species within the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator approximation at 25 °C and 1 atm were subsequently calculated. Energy diagrams or analytical gradients to reference the energy of all the reactants to zero. All electronic structure calculations were carried out with the TURBOMOLE electronic structure program suite, version V7.3.
Here, [carbonyl] is the starting concentration of OAA, [imine] is the concentration of imine assumed to be a minority species in solution ([imine] ≪ [carbonyl]), $k_{\text{carbonyl}}$ is the rate constant for decarboxylation from the carbonyl species (measured to be $5.24 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$), $k_{\text{imine}}$ is the unknown rate constant for decarboxylation from the imine species, and $K_{\text{eq}}$ is the equilibrium constant between the imine and carbonyl species.

$$K_{\text{eq}} = \frac{[\text{imine}]}{[\text{carbonyl}][\text{NH}_4^+]}$$

(3)

Ammonia is a minor species in solution under acidic conditions, but its concentration can be calculated from the acid ionization constant $K_a$ of the ammonium ion

$$K_a = \frac{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+][\text{NH}_4^+]}{[\text{NH}_3][\text{H}_2\text{O}]} = 5.6 \times 10^{-10}$$

(4)

Combining these equations results in a predicted proportionality of the relative decarboxylation rate on the ammonium ion concentration

$$\frac{\text{rate with NH}_4^+}{\text{rate without NH}_4^+} = 1 + \frac{k_{\text{imine}}K_{\text{eq}}}{k_{\text{carbonyl}}} \times \frac{K_a[\text{NH}_4^+]}{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}$$

(5)

This can be related to the pseudo-first-order rate constant shown in Figure 2

$$k_{\text{effective}} = k_{\text{carbonyl}} + k_{\text{imine}}K_{\text{eq}} \times \frac{K_a[\text{NH}_4^+]}{[\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}$$

(6)

which is consistent with the observed linear dependence on $[\text{NH}_4^+]$ below $[\text{NH}_4^+] \approx 2.7 \text{ M}$. The linearity breaks down at higher concentrations, likely because the equations of equilibrium, eqs 3 and/or 4, no longer work at high ionic strengths. It is also possible that our assumption of the rapid equilibrium between imine and carbonyl is not valid, which would contribute to the nonexponential decay of absorbance shown in Figures S1–S3.

**Effects of Ammonium in Highly Acidic Solutions (pH near or Less Than 1).** Pseudo-first-order rate constants were also measured for solutions of OAA with varying concentrations of ammonium bisulfate, shown in Table 1. These experiments showed much slower rates of decarboxylation. At these low pH values, there is a strong contribution by the gem-diol form of OAA, which should decrease the decarboxylation rate because the most likely reaction intermediate requires the keto form. In addition, the rate is suppressed by the low concentration of ammonia needed to produce the imine, resulting in anticorrelation between the effective rate constant and the hydronium ion concentration (eq 6). As a control experiment, we measured the decarboxylation rate of OAA in an aqueous solution acidified to pH 1.0 with sulfuric acid in the absence of ammonium and found a lifetime of 63.7 h. This is longer than for the solution containing ammonia at the same pH 1.0 (45.2 h), showing that ammonia does still catalyze the decarboxylation even at these highly acidic pHs. However, the catalytic effect of ammonia is not strong enough to counteract the suppression of the decarboxylation rate by the increased acidity.

Although the gem-diol reacts more readily with the OH radical than the keto form of OAA, decarboxylation is still the faster process at an OH concentration of $10^{-15} \text{ M}$, with branching ratio $Q$ ranging from 0.042 for 0.5 M ammonium bisulfate to 0.14 for 2.0 M ammonium bisulfate. If particle OH concentrations are higher, for instance $10^{-12} \text{ M}$ as suggested by Ervens et al. in their 2011 review, OH oxidation lifetimes would be much shorter, and the branching ratios would shift to 42 for 0.5 M ammonium bisulfate and 140 for 2.0 M ammonium bisulfate. The importance of OAA’s decarboxylation reaction will, therefore, be highly OH-concentration dependent under acidic conditions, as acidity greatly decreases the decarboxylation rate.

**Electronic Structure Calculations.** Two possible reaction pathways for the ammonium-catalyzed decarboxylation of OAA are presented in Figure 3, one starting from the imine

---

**Table 1. Data from Individual Decarboxylation Reactions in the Presence of Ammonium Bisulfate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ammonium bisulfate concentration (M)</th>
<th>solution pH</th>
<th>rate constant (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>lifetime (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 (H₂SO₄ added)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(4.36 ± 0.04) × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>(8.05 ± 0.12) × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>(6.15 ± 0.10) × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>45.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>(4.49 ± 0.03) × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>(2.37 ± 0.04) × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 3. Electronic energies of species likely involved in the ammonium-catalyzed decarboxylation of OAA. The reference energy of the product was set to 0 kcal/mol, and the reference energy of the imine and enamine species were calculated accordingly. Values without and with parentheses are the relative free energies in the aqueous phase and the gas phase, respectively. All energies were calculated at the PBE0/TZVPP level and reported in kcal/mol.
and aqueous phases. Our calculations found the transition state for the uncatalyzed decarboxylation is stabilized by the formation of a six-membered ring as shown in Scheme 2 and Figure 4, in agreement with previous theoretical studies on the uncatalyzed decarboxylation of OAA and related molecules.\textsuperscript{24,49,50} Our calculated activation energy of 32 kcal/mol for the aqueous phase uncatalyzed reaction is higher than the experimentally determined activation energy of 23.6 kcal/mol reported by Ito et al.,\textsuperscript{27} but still within a range expected of our lower target accuracy. Our calculated energy barriers may be high because we did not allow for tunneling in our calculations. In the catalyzed reaction, the decarboxylation proceeds from the imine form, and an analogous six-membered ring is formed for the ammonium-catalyzed transition state (also shown in Figure 4). The activation energy of the ammonium-catalyzed decarboxylation is significantly lower than that of the uncatalyzed decarboxylation in both the gas and aqueous phases, which is consistent with our relative experimental decarboxylation rates. Replacing OAA’s carbonyl with an imine lowers the activation energy for decarboxylation, leading to shorter lifetimes in solutions containing ammonium. Comparing the gas and aqueous phase energy levels, it can also be seen from Figure 4 that the solvation effect of water stabilizes all the species except for the uncatalyzed transition state.

We used the Curtin–Hammett principle to calculate the relative free energies of the transition states ($\Delta \Delta G^\pm$).\textsuperscript{21}

\[
\Delta \Delta G^\pm = \Delta G_{\text{carbonyl}}^\pm - \Delta G_{\text{imine}}^\pm + \Delta G_{\text{eq}}^0
\]

\($\Delta G_{\text{carbonyl}}^\pm$ and $\Delta G_{\text{imine}}^\pm$ are the activation energies for decarboxylation from the carbonyl and imine, and $\Delta G_{\text{eq}}^0$ is the free energy of the carbonyl and imine equilibrium (assumed to be achieved faster than the time scale of the decarboxylation process). The gas-phase theoretical value of $\Delta \Delta G^\pm$ is 14 kcal/mol. Calculating $\Delta \Delta G^\pm$ while including the dielectric constant of water to represent the aqueous phase gives 24 kcal/mol.

The Curtin–Hammett principle also makes it possible to estimate $\Delta \Delta G^\pm$ from our experimental results using the following equation

\[
\frac{k_{\text{imine}}K_{\text{eq}}}{k_{\text{carbonyl}}} = \frac{\exp(-\Delta \Delta G^\pm/RT)}{k_{\text{carbonyl}}}
\]

Using eq 6, we determined $k_{\text{imine}}K_{\text{eq}} = 12.5$ at pH of 4 (see Table S1 for experimental pH values) from the experimentally determined slope in Figure 2. Inserting this value into eq 8, along with the measured $k_{\text{carbonyl}}$ rate constant, gave us an experimental $\Delta \Delta G^\pm$ value of about 7.3 kcal/mol. The experimentally derived value is considerably lower than the theoretical value suggesting that the calculation overestimates the barrier height for the carbonyl species (by about 8 kcal/mol as discussed above) but underestimates the barrier height for the imine species. It is also possible that other forms of OAA shown in Scheme 2 can decarboxylate making the direct comparison between the theory and experiment more challenging. Despite these quantitative discrepancies, which may in part be due to the neglect of proton tunneling on the computed barriers, the computations support the experimental observations by suggesting that the decarboxylation reaction proceeds more efficiently along the catalyzed pathway due to a lower transition-state energy along this pathway.

**Effect of Ionic Strength on the Initial Absorbance Spectra.** It has been demonstrated that increasing salt...
concentrations can affect the absorption spectra of aqueous molecules and impact their direct photolysis rates.\textsuperscript{45,46,52,53} This prompted us to examine the effect of the added salts on the initial absorption spectrum of OAA before the decarboxylation (Figures S4–S7).

Under all conditions, the addition of small concentrations (less than 1 M) of salts resulted in an increase in the height of the main absorption peak at 260 nm. However, further addition of salt decreased the 260 nm absorption. The decrease holds true for the solutions containing sodium sulfate as well as for the solutions containing ammonium, so we do not believe it is attributable to faster decarboxylation with ammonium. This effect was not observed in previous studies on pyruvic acid\textsuperscript{46,52} (another atmospherically important keto-acid and the product of the OAA decarboxylation) and is likely a result of changing OAA’s complex equilibrium of species in solution. Since the enol form is the major absorbing species for OAA,\textsuperscript{46,52} any change in the enol concentration will change the absorption intensity. Other single-molecule experiments have shown that salts can affect the absorbance spectra by changing the ratios of species present in solution,\textsuperscript{46,52} although these other studies have been on molecules with a less complex set of forms at equilibrium. A decrease in the relative enol concentration explains why lowering the pH of the solutions (under ammonium bisulfate and sulfuric acid conditions) significantly decreased the main absorption peak, as there is a greater fraction of gem-diol and a lower fraction of enol present under acidic conditions.\textsuperscript{46,52} An additional consequence of increased acidity is reduced absorption above 300 nm. OAA will absorb less of the sun’s energy under very acidic conditions (pH near or less than 1) than at more moderately acidic pHs, which may affect its photochemistry.

Another interesting effect is the growth of a shoulder on the main absorption peak with the addition of ammonium sulfate (Figure S4), providing more absorption of tropospherically relevant wavelengths. This shoulder, characterized by an increase in absorbance between 270 and 315 nm, is attributable to the formation of an enamine,\textsuperscript{54,55} which would be particularly interesting if the enamine form is not active in the decarboxylation process as could be the case based on our and previous calculations.\textsuperscript{24–26} Therefore, enamine formation may be a potential pathway for direct photolysis to compete with OAA’s decarboxylation. The enamine peak is only visible at pH values above about 3.5, as demonstrated by the ammonium chloride-containing absorbance spectra (Figure S6). Since ammonium chloride is more acidic than ammonium sulfate or sodium sulfate, the ammonium chloride solutions were generally near pH 3 and the enamine peak was not present. However, when a drop of 1 N potassium hydroxide was added to the sample solution, the pH changed from ~3 to ~4 and the enamine band became visible. It is clear from the comparison of the initial absorbance spectra that pH, ionic strength, and ionic species can alter the absorption spectrum of OAA significantly, and potentially affect its direct photolysis rate.

### CONCLUSIONS

The decarboxylation rate of OAA was observed in solutions of ammonium and sulfate salts at varying concentrations and compared to the reaction rate in pure water, and electronic structure calculations were performed to validate our experimental results. At weakly acidic pH values, the rate at which OAA was converted to pyruvic acid linearly increased with the addition of ammonium up to about 2.7 M ammonium but had no further observable change in the rate at higher ammonium concentrations. At pH values near and less than 1, the decarboxylation reaction rate was reduced by about an order of magnitude, although ammonium still catalyzed the reaction. Salts that did not contain ammonium did not accelerate the observed reaction. The DFT calculations performed suggest that the energy barrier for decarboxylation is significantly lower from the imine (after reaction with ammonium) than from the uncatalyzed carbonyl form: 11 kcal/mol compared to 32 kcal/mol.

When decarboxylation lifetimes were compared to the lifetimes of OAA with respect to OH oxidation under corresponding atmospheric conditions, decarboxylation was found to be dominant for nearly all cases. These results suggest that the lifetime of OAA will be highly dependent on the aqueous system in which it is dissolved. In dilute solutions such as cloud water and aerosols with low ammonium concentrations, decarboxylation reactions of the type studied here will be ammonium (or amine) concentration-dependent, but the exact ammonium concentration will not be as important in deliquescent particles in cases where the ammonium concentration is sufficiently high. At highly acidic pH values, the importance of decarboxylation will depend on the OH concentration, but decarboxylation is still likely to be the dominant removal pathway. The decarboxylation lifetimes calculated here can be summarized as follows: 5 h in dilute water/weakly acidic water without ammonium ions, less than 5 h and as short as 1 h in water neutralized by ammonium, and tens of hours in more acidic waters.

Decarboxylation and OH oxidation do not exhaustively describe all possible fates for OAA in atmospheric water. For instance, reactions between carboxylic acids and ammonium or protonated amines have been shown to contribute to nanoparticle growth.\textsuperscript{55} Formation of a carbonate salt of this type with the carboxylic acid at OAA’s carbon 4 would inhibit the decarboxylation pathway shown in Scheme 2, suppressing decarboxylation in freshly nucleated particles. Sulfate-esterification may also occur in sulfate-containing atmospheric solutions, particularly at low pH values.\textsuperscript{56} The methods presented here do not allow us to differentiate sulfate-esterification from decarboxylation under our highly acidic conditions where sulfate-esterification is expected to gain importance. However, formation of sulfate esters has been shown to result from the reactions of epoxides, while their formation from the reactions of alcohols (e.g., the enol form of OAA) is kinetically unfavorable under atmospheric conditions.\textsuperscript{57,58} Finally, small ketone-containing molecules can undergo aldol condensation catalyzed by the presence of ammonium,\textsuperscript{59} which could also compete with decarboxylation. The rate of aldol condensation has not been measured for OAA, so we cannot directly compare the lifetimes of OAA with respect to decarboxylation and aldol condensation. However, rates of aldol condensation for other small carbonyl compounds are on the order of 10^{-5} to 10^{-7} s^{-1}—one to three orders of magnitude slower than the decarboxylation of OAA is predicted to be under similar conditions.\textsuperscript{15} We, therefore, expect decarboxylation to be the most important process for OAA in atmospheric particles containing ammonium.

We also expect decarboxylation to be an important removal pathway for other 3-oxoacarboxylic acids, although the reactivity of OAA may not translate directly. Other 3-oxoacarboxylic
Other molecules will likely have a more OH-reactive keto in small abundances (which reacts very readily with OH). unreactive keto form as a result of its structure (it only has one acids.

important than decarboxylation for other 3-oxocarboxylic catalyzed decarboxylation, and if OH oxidation becomes more other molecules may di
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